

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

2 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

3 IN RE STEVEN BONILLA,

Nos. C 11-2808 CW (PR)

C 11-2823 CW (PR)

C 11-2824 CW (PR)

4 Plaintiff.

5 _____ / ORDER OF DISMISSAL;
6 TERMINATING ALL PENDING
7 MOTIONS

8
9 Plaintiff Steven Bonilla has been sentenced to death by the
10 Superior Court of California for the County of Alameda. He is
11 incarcerated at San Quentin State Prison. Although his State
12 habeas case currently is being litigated, he filed a request for
13 appointment of counsel for his future federal habeas litigation in
14 this Court. See Bonilla v. Ayers, No. C 08-0471 CW (PR). Pursuant
15 to Habeas Local Rule 2254-25, this Court granted his request for
16 appointment of counsel and referred the matter to the Northern
17 District's Selection Board for the recommendation of qualified
18 counsel to represent Plaintiff in his federal habeas proceedings.
19 Additionally, pursuant to Habeas Local Rule 2254-24(a), the Court
20 granted Plaintiff's concurrent request for a stay of execution.
21 (Docket no. 3.)

22 Although Plaintiff's State habeas case is pending, Plaintiff
23 has filed numerous pro se requests and motions in C 08-0471. All
24 of the requests and motions have been denied by this Court or
25 withdrawn by Plaintiff. In particular, on February 16, 2011, the
26 Court issued an Order telling Plaintiff that no further filings
27 regarding CR 88-259 MISC AJZ (Grand Jury proceedings) would be
28 accepted by this Court. (Docket no. 34.) Additionally, the Court

1 dismissed with prejudice In re: Steven Wayne Bonilla, No. C 11-0441
2 CW (PR), a pro se complaint filed by Plaintiff regarding CR 88-259
3 MISC AJZ. (Id.) On March 29, 2011, the Court denied several more
4 pro se motions filed by Plaintiff in C 08-0471. In doing so, the
5 Court wrote:

6 As this Court has stated multiple times, Petitioner's
state habeas case is still pending in the state court.
7 The Court reiterates to Petitioner that challenges to his
state trial conviction must be reviewed by the state
8 courts before being considered by the federal court.
Until that time, Petitioner's various claims in his pro
9 se pleadings are not ripe for this Court to consider.

10 (Docket no. 54 at 2:16-22.)

11 Most recently, Plaintiff filed thirteen pro se civil rights
actions under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On June 13, 2011, the Court issued
13 an Order dismissing all of said actions for the reason none of the
14 allegations in Plaintiff's complaints stated a claim for relief
15 under § 1983. After explaining to Plaintiff that challenges to the
16 lawfulness of confinement or its duration can be addressed only by
17 way of habeas corpus, the Court concluded as follows:

18 Here, in an apparent attempt to circumvent this Court's
prior admonition to Plaintiff that no further filings
regarding CR 88-259 MISC AJZ would be accepted by the
Court and that additional unripe pro se motions in C 08-
0471 would be denied, Plaintiff has filed the instant
civil rights complaints in which he seeks access to
certain discovery, witness testimony, declarations and
other information that he claims would render his death
penalty conviction invalid, including information
regarding CR 88-259 MISC AJZ. Because all of Plaintiff's
civil rights complaints seek relief that must be pursued
by way of habeas corpus, all of the instant civil rights
actions are hereby DISMISSED without prejudice to
Plaintiff's bringing his claims in a federal habeas
petition. See Trimble v. City of Santa Rosa, 49 F.3d
583, 586 (9th Cir. 1995). Plaintiff is reminded,
however, that he must heed the Court's prior admonitions
before filing additional pro se matters in C 08-0471.

27 Order of Dismissal at 2:28-3:15.
28

1 Now pending before the Court are three new pro se civil rights
2 actions filed by Plaintiff. As with Plaintiff's thirteen previous
3 civil rights actions, these actions seek access to information that
4 would render Plaintiff's death penalty conviction invalid.
5 Accordingly, the actions are hereby DISMISSED without prejudice to
6 Plaintiff's bringing his claims in a federal habeas petition. See
7 Trimble v. City of Santa Rosa, 49 F.3d 583, 586 (9th Cir. 1995).

8 Additionally, because the Court has determined that none of
9 the instant civil rights complaints can proceed as a civil rights
10 action but, instead, must be dismissed, the Clerk of the Court is
11 hereby DIRECTED to terminate, in each action, Plaintiff's pending
12 motion to proceed in forma pauperis. No filing fee is due.

13 The Clerk of the Court shall enter judgment in each of the
14 above civil rights actions, terminate all pending motions therein,
15 and close the files. The Clerk of the Court also shall file a copy
16 of this Order in C 08-0471.

17 IT IS SO ORDERED.

18
19 Dated: 6/16/2011



CLAUDIA WILKEN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

STEVEN W BONILLA,

Plaintiff,

V.

QUINTIN L SMITH et al,

Defendant.

Case Number: CV11-02808 CW(PR)
CV11-2823 CW (PR)
CV11-2824 CW (PR)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

QUINTIN L SMITH et al.

Defendant.

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California.

That on June 16, 2011, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office.

Steven Wayne Bonilla J-48500
San Quentin State Prison
San Quentin, CA 94964

Dated: June 16, 2011

Richard W. Wieking, Clerk
By: Nikki Riley, Deputy Clerk